UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	ELECTRONIC
X	DATE FILED:
In re:	03-MD-0157
TERRORIST ATTACKS ON	US-WID-U13/
SEPTEMBER 11, 2001	OR

03-MD-01570 (GBD)(SN) ORDER

USDC SDNY

-----X

SARAH NETBURN, United States Magistrate Judge:

This document relates to:

Burnett, et al. v. Al Baraka Inv. & Dev. Corp., et al., No. 03-cv-09849

Plaintiffs in <u>Burnett</u>, et al. v. Al <u>Baraka Inv. & Dev. Corp.</u>, et al., No. 03-cv-09849 (the "<u>Burnett</u> Plaintiffs"), move to amend their complaint under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15 to add plaintiffs who seek to assert claims against the Taliban. ECF No. 9526.¹

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a)(2) permits a party to amend its complaint with the court's leave. It directs courts to "freely give leave when justice so requires." <u>Id.</u> The decision to permit amendment is committed to the discretion of the court, <u>McCarthy v. Dun & Bradstreet Corp.</u>, 482 F.3d 184, 200 (2d Cir. 2007), but granting "leave to amend is the 'usual practice," <u>Bank v. Gohealth, LLC</u>, No. 21-cv-1287, 2022 WL 1132503, at *1 (2d Cir. Apr. 18, 2022) (quoting <u>Hayden v. Cnty. of Nassau</u>, 180 F.3d 42, 53 (2d Cir. 1999)). Amendment should be permitted "[i]n the absence of any apparent or declared reason—such as undue delay, bad faith or dilatory motive on the part of the movant, repeated failure to cure deficiencies by amendments previously allowed, undue prejudice to the opposing party by virtue of allowance of the amendment, futility of the amendment, etc." Foman v. Davis, 371 U.S. 178, 182 (1962).

¹ Unless otherwise noted, all ECF numbers refer to the main MDL docket, No. 03-md-01570.

These claims are not futile, will not unduly delay these proceedings or prejudice the Taliban, and were not filed in bad faith or with a dilatory motive. The <u>Burnett</u> Plaintiffs' motion is therefore GRANTED, and it is further ORDERED that:

- The underlying complaint in <u>Burnett</u>, No. 03-cv-09849, is amended to include the 4 parties identified in the <u>Burnett</u> Plaintiffs' exhibit at ECF No. 9528-1 as parties in the action against the Taliban;
- These amendments supplement, but do not displace, the underlying operative complaint in Burnett, No. 03-cv-09849;
- Prior rulings, orders, and judgments entered in this case remain in effect as to all parties; and
- Further service on the Taliban is not required as a result of these amendments, and prior service orders apply, including the Court's order on service by publication at ECF Nos. 445, 488.

The Clerk of Court is respectfully directed to terminate the motion at ECF No. 9526 and the related motion at ECF No. 1108 in <u>Burnett</u>, No. 03-cv-09849.

SO ORDERED.

SARAH NETBURN

United States Magistrate Judge

DATED: January 12, 2024

New York, New York